

Accurate Calculation of Bit Error Rates in Optical Fiber Communications Systems

presented by
Curtis R. Menyuk

UMBC

1

Contributors

Ronald Holzlöhner
Ivan T. Lima, Jr.
Amitkumar Mahadevan
Brian S. Marks
Joel M. Morris
Oleg V. Sinkin
John W. Zweck

UMBC

2

Invention of the Printing Press

~ 1452 – 1455



GUTENBERG
Man of the Millennium

UMBC

3

Accuracy

- Of mathematical models: Physics → Equations
- Of solution algorithms: Equations → Solutions

Focus here is on algorithms

UMBC

4

Basic Difficulty

Nonlinearity in transmission; nonlinearity in receiver

⇒ Traditional analytical approaches do not work

Lower error rates ($\sim 10^{-15}$ in many cases)

⇒ Standard Monte Carlo methods do not work

5

UMBC

Validation

— Deterministic methods;
Faster ↔ Approximate

— Statistical (biasing Monte Carlo) methods;
Slower ↔ Arbitrarily accurate

Additional difficulty


— System complexity:
transmitter + receiver + error-correction
must be analyzed together

6


UMBC

Basic Transmission System

Transmission line



Receiver model



Decoder



UMBC

7

Receiver

Input Multivariate Gaussian Noise + Signal (any OOK format)


⇒ χ^2 distribution of voltage

Lee and Shim, JLT 1994
Bosco *et al.*, IEEE PTL 2000
Forestieri *et al.*, JLT 2000
Holzlöhner *et al.*, JLT 2002
Carlsson *et al.*, OFC 2003

UMBC

8

BER vs. Input Power



9

UMBC

Effects of Nonlinearity in Transmission


- Noise-signal interactions
- Pattern dependences
 - Complex in WDM systems

Focus first on noise-signal interactions!

10

UMBC

Traditional Methods



- Standard Monte Carlo: $\sim 10^{12}$ NF
 - randomness yields intrinsic errors
- White noise assumption: ~ 1 NF
 - just plain wrong in many long-haul systems
- CW noise assumption: ~ 10 NF
 - takes into account parametric pumping

NF = noise-free simulation

UMBC

11

Our Approaches



- Covariance matrix method: $\sim 10^2$ NF
 - assumes noise-noise beating is negligible in transmission
 - (with caveats!)**
- Biased Monte Carlo: $\sim 10^5$ NF
 - keeps everything in principle!

NF = noise-free simulation

UMBC

12

Covariance Matrix Method

Basic assumption:

Noise-noise beating in transmission is negligible once phase noise is separated

Consequences:

Optical noise distribution is multivariate Gaussian

The distribution is completely determined by the noise covariance matrix

13

UMBC

Covariance Matrix Method

Other points:

The covariance matrix can be calculated deterministically

Multivariate Gaussian distributed optical noise maps to a generalized χ^2 distributed current


The whole distribution function can be calculated deterministically!

14

UMBC

Multicanonical Monte Carlo (MMC)

Goal: To obtain an equal number of realizations in each voltage interval in the region of interest



15

UMBC

Multicanonical Monte Carlo (MMC)

Procedure (a bit simplified) :

Do standard Monte Carlo based on Metropolis algorithm

In step i :

- $z_{\text{prov}}^{i+1} = z^i + \Delta z^i$ (Δz^i is randomly chosen)
[z is a point in the configuration space]
- Calculate $\rho^{i+1} \equiv \rho(z_{\text{prov}}^{i+1})$
[ρ is the probability density]
- Accept provisional step with probability $\min(1, \rho^{i+1} / \rho^i)$
- If step accepted : $z^{i+1} = z_{\text{prov}}^{i+1}$
If step rejected : $z^{i+1} = z^i$
- Increment k th voltage bin by 1

16

UMBC

Multicanonical Monte Carlo (MMC)

Estimate $P_k^1 = N_k^1 / N_{\text{total}}^1$

[P_k^1 is the probability that the voltage is in bin k]

Repeat the Metropolis algorithm with the change:

— Accept provisional step with probability

$$\min(1, P_{k,i}^1 \rho^{i+1} / P_{k,i+1}^1 \rho^i)$$

Estimate $P_k^2 = C^1 P_k^1 N_k^2 / N_{\text{total}}^2$ [C^1 = normalization constant]

Iterate until convergence


**No a priori knowledge
of how to bias is needed!**

UMBC

17

Chirped RZ System

Submarine single-channel 10 Gb/s CRZ system, 6120 km




Nonlinear scale length: 1960 km

System length: ~ 3 nonlinear scale lengths

UMBC

18

Results




Covariance matrix method and multicanonical Monte Carlo agree perfectly over 15 orders magnitude!*

UMBC

19 *R. Holzlohner and C. R. Menyuk, Opt. Lett. 28, 1894 (2003)

Interchannel pattern dependences

Simulation results with the same bit pattern in the center channel but different bit patterns in the other channels:




Nonlinear penalty is bit-pattern dependent

UMBC

20

Voltage PDF due to nonlinearity




UMBC

21

BER with pattern dependencies

Compute* $p_{\text{noise}}(I, t)$ and convolve with timing shift PDF:

$$p_{\text{total}}(I, t) = p_{\text{noise}}(I, t) * p_{\Delta T}(t)$$



* Forestieri, *J. Lightwave Technol.* No.11, 2000
 Holzlöhner *et al.*, *PTL.* No.8, 2002

UMBC

22

Error Correcting Codes

Low density parity check code

Union bound gives an upper bound for the BER of the maximum-likelihood decoder


Multicanonical Monte Carlo can be used with a modified procedure:

- Calculate probability of errors vs. voltage (standard)
[Produces high variance at low voltages with errors]
- Calculate probability of errors vs. voltage (only steps that produce errors are accepted)
[Produces low variance at low voltages]

23

UMBC


BER vs. SNR



24

UMBC

BER vs. SNR



25

UMBC

Conclusions

Important issues remain

- Combining noise, pattern dependences, error correction
- Validating simple fast approaches
- Formats besides RZ
- Experimental validation

Methods that allow accurate calculations of BER — based on first principles — have been developed

26

UMBC

References

CW noise method

1. R. Hui, D. Chowdhury, M. Newhouse, M. O'Sullivan, and M. Pettcker, "Nonlinear amplification of noise in fibers with dispersion and its impact in optically amplified systems," *IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett.* **9**, pp. 392–394, 1997.
2. R. Hui, M. O'Sullivan, A. Robinson, and M. Taylor, "Modulation instability and its impact in multispan optical amplified IMDD system: Theory and experiments," *J. Lightwave Technol.* **15**, pp. 1071–1081, 1997.
3. E. A. Golovchenko, A. N. Pilipetskii, N. S. Bergano, C. R. Davidsen, F. I. Khatri, R. M. Kimball, and V. J. Mazurczyk, "Modeling of transoceanic fiber-optic WDM communications systems," *IEEE J. Select. Topics Quantum Electron.* **6**, pp. 337–347, 2000.

UMBC

References

Covariance Matrix Method

1. R. Holzloehner, V. S. Grigoryan, C. R. Menyuk, and W. L. Kath, "Accurate calculation of eye diagrams and bit error rates in optical transmission systems using linearization," *J. Lightwave Technol.* **20**, pp. 389–400, 2002.
2. R. Holzloehner, "A covariance matrix method to compute bit error rates in a highly nonlinear dispersion-managed soliton system," *IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett.* **15**, pp. 688–690, 2003.
3. R. Holzloehner, C. R. Menyuk, W. L. Kath, V. S. Grigoryan, "Efficient and accurate computation of eye diagrams and bit-error rates in a single-channel CRZ system," *IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett.* **14**, pp. 1079–1081, 2002.
4. R. Holzloehner, C. Menyuk, V. Grigoryan, W. Kath, "A covariance matrix method for calculating accurate bit error rates in a DWDM chirped RZ system," *Proc. OFC 2003*, paper ThW3.

UMBC

References

Receiver models

1. J.-S. Lee and C.-S. Shim, "Bit-error-rate analysis of optically preamplified receivers using an eigenfunction expansion method in optical frequency domain," *J. Lightwave Technol.*, **12**, pp. 1224-1229, 1994.
2. G. Bosco, A. Carena, V. Curri, R. Gaudino, P. Poggiolini, and S. Benedetto, "A novel analytical method for the BER evaluation in optical systems affected by parametric gain," *IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett.*, **12** (2), pp. 152-154, 2000.
3. E. Forestieri, "Evaluating the error probability in lightwave systems with chromatic dispersion, arbitrary pulse shape and pre- and postdetection filtering," *J. Lightwave Technol.*, **18** (11), pp. 1493-1503, 2000.
4. R. Holzlöhner, V. S. Grigoryan, C. R. Menyuk, and W. L. Kath, "Accurate calculation of eye diagrams and bit error rates in optical transmission systems using linearization," *J. Lightwave Technol.*, **20** (3), pp. 389-400, 2002.
5. A. Carlsson, G. Jacobsen, and A. Berntson, "Receiver model including square-law detection and ISI from arbitrary electrical filtering," OFC 2003, paper MF56.

UMBC

References

Collision-induced timing jitter in RZ systems

1. M. J. Ablowitz, G. Biondini, A. Biswas, A. Docherty, T. Chakravarty, "Collision-induced timing shifts in dispersion-managed soliton systems," *Opt. Lett.* **27**, pp. 318-320, 2002.
2. V. Grigoryan and A. Richter, "Efficient approach for modeling collision-induced timing jitter in WDM return-to-zero dispersion-managed systems," *J. Lightwave Technol.* **18**, pp. 1148-1154, 2000.
3. A. Docherty, *Dispersion-Management in WDM Soliton System*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of New South Wales, Australia.
4. C. Xu, C. Xie, and L. Mollenauer, "Analysis of soliton collisions in a wavelength-division-multiplexed dispersion-managed soliton transmission system," *Opt. Lett.* **27**, pp. 1303-1305, 2002.
5. M. J. Ablowitz, A. Docherty, and T. Hirooka, "Incomplete collisions in strongly dispersion-managed return-to-zero communication system," *Opt. Lett.* **28**, 1191-1193, 2003.

UMBC

References

Multicanonical Monte Carlo Method


1. B. A. Berg and T. Neuhaus, "Multicanonical ensemble: A new approach to simulate first-order phase transitions," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68**, pp. 9–12, 1992.
2. B. A. Berg, "Algorithmic aspects of multicanonical Monte Carlo simulations," *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **63**, pp. 982–984, 1998.
3. D. Yevick, "The accuracy of multicanonical system models," *IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett.* **15**, pp. 224–226, 2003.
4. R. Holzlöhner and C. R. Menyuk, "Use of multicanonical Monte Carlo simulations to obtain accurate bit error rates in optical communications systems," *Opt. Lett.* **28**, pp. 1894–1896, 2003.

References

LDPC Codes

1. R. G. Gallager, "Low-density parity-check codes", *IRE Trans. Inform. Theory* **8**, pp. 21–28, 1962.
2. F. R. Kschischang, B. J. Frey and H-A. Loeliger, "Factor graphs and the sum-product algorithm", *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **47**, pp. 498–519, 2001.
3. D. J. C. MacKay and R. M. Neal, "Near Shannon limit performance of low density parity check codes", *Electron. Lett.* **33**, pp. 457–458, 1997.
4. S-Y. Chung, G. D. Forney Jr., T. J. Richardson, and R. Urbanke, "On the design of low density parity check codes within 0.0045 dB of the Shannon limit", *IEEE Comm. Lett.* **5**, pp. 58–60, 2001.
5. B. Vasic, I. B. Djordjevic, and R. K. Kostuk, "Low-density parity check codes and iterative decoding for long-haul optical communication systems," *J. Lightwave Technol.* **21**, pp. 438–446, 2003.

BER vs. Input Power




33

UMBC

Data-pattern dependences

CRZ systems:

Inter-channel XPM-induced timing jitter dominates



Scaling:

Amplitude $\sim 1/\Delta\Omega^2$

Width $\sim \Delta\Omega$

Add time shifts

Use receiver model to find penalties

34

UMBC

Voltage PDF due to nonlinearity

